

SACRAMENTO CITY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD OF EDUCATION

Agenda Item#_8.1f_

Meeting Date: December 4, 2014

Subject: Approve November 6, 2014 Board of Education Meeting Minutes

Information Item Only

Conference/Action

Public Hearing

Division: Superintendent's Office

Recommendation: Approve Minutes of the Board of Education Meeting for November 6, 2014.

Background/Rationale: None

Financial Considerations: None

Documents Attached:

1. November 6, 2014 Board of Education Meeting Minutes

Estimated Time of Presentation: N/A Submitted by: José L. Banda, Superintendent Approved by: N/A

Page 1 of 1

Sacramento City Unified School District BOARD OF EDUCATION MEETING AND WORKSHOP

Board of Education Members Darrel Woo President(Trustee Area)6 Christina Pritchett,Vice President (Trustee Area)8 Jay HansenSecond Vice President (Trustee Area)8 Jeff Cuneo(Trustee Area)2 Gustavo Arroyo, (Trustee Area)4 Diana Rodriguez(Trustee Area)5 Vacant,(Trustee Area)7 Asami SaitoStudent Member Thursday, Nov. 6, 2014

4:30 p.m. Closed Session 6:30 p.m. @en Session

<u>Serna Center</u> Community Conference Rooms 5735 4th Avenue Sacramento, CA 95824

MINUTES

2014/15-09

Allotted Time

1.0 OPEN SESSION / CALL TO ORDER / ROLL CALL The meeting was called to order at 4:30 p.m. by President Woo, and roll was taken.

Members Present: President Darrel Woo Vice President Christina Pritchett <u>Patrick Malone</u> an elementary P. E. teacher from William Land Elementary School, has concerner about the recent health care changes and asked why the contract was broken.

3.0 CLOSED SESSION

While the Brown Act creates broad public access rights to the meetings of the Board of Education, it also recognizes the legitimate need to conduct some of its meetings outside of the public eye. Closed session meetings are specifically defined and limited in scope. They primarily involve personnel issues, pending litigation, labor negotiations, and real property matters.

- 3.1 Government Code 54956. © onference with Legal Counsel Anticipated Litigation:
 - a) Significant exposure to litation pursuant to subdivision ((2) of Government Code section 54956.9
 - b) Initiation of litigation pursuant to subdivision (d)(4) of Government Code section 54956.9
- 3.2 Government Code 54957.6 (a) and (b) Negotiations/Collective Bargaining CSA, SCTA, SEIU, Teamsters, UPE, Unrepresented Management
- 3.3 Government Code 54957Public Employee Performance Evaluation:a) Superintendent
- 4.0 CALL BACK TO ORDER/PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE The meeting was called back to order at 7:00 p.m. by President Woo.

Members Present: President Darrel Woo Vice President Christina Pritchett Second VicePresident Jay Hansen Gustavo Arroyo Jeff Cuneo Diana Rodriguez Student Member Asami Saito

The Pledge of Allegiance was by Shery Her, an eighth grade student from Rosa Parks K8 School. A Certificate of Achievement was presented by Member Robaniaguez.

5.0 ANNOUNCEMENT OF ACTION TAKEN IN CLOSED SESSION

None

6.0 AGENDA ADOPTION

President Woo asked that the agenda be amended to move Item 10.1 after the Consent Agenda and adopted as such. Vice President Pritchett made a motion to do so, which was seconded by Second Vice President Hansen. The Board voted unanimously to adopt the agenda.

Member Rodriguez then made a motion that Item 10.2 be removed from the agenda and brought back at the next Board meeting. Vice President Pritchett seconded. A roll call vote was taken:

ArroyoNoCuneoNoHansenNoPritchettYesRodriguezYesWooNo

The motion to move Item. 10.2 to the next Board meeting was not approved.

7.0 PUBLIC COMMENT

15 minutes

Members of the public may address tBee and on non-agenda items that are within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Board. Please fill out a yellow card available at the entrance. Speakers may be called in the order that reque received, or grouped by subject area. We ask that cents are limited to two (2) minutes with no more than 15 minutes per single topic so that as many people as possible may be heard. By law, the Board is allowed to take action items on the agenda. The Board may, at its discretion, refer a matter is the staff or calendar the issue for future discussion.

<u>Don Brown</u>, a teacher of 26 in the District, has concerns about the new curriculum. The new curriculum includes Second Step, new Math, Writing, and Readingriculums, the iReady Program, and new report card system, all to be changed in a short period of time. Many teachers are overwhelmed. He halways had confidence in teaching the curriculum until this year. He feels the administrative decision timplement lacked good judgment. Heles also that Common Core does not belong elementary school, and that building a strong foundation utilizing remedial practices does.

<u>William L. Bican</u> who taught for 32 years in the District, has concerns about the recent changes in healt benefits, and related that it was difficult to get information when he called for help. His medical expensivith the new program will increase from approximately \$80 per month to over \$1,000.

<u>Darlene Andersonhas</u> concerns about parent engagement, especially in the Afkircamican community. She asked what responsibility the Districtton assordinate workshops to inform parents of what they can do to ensure that their children get a basic education.

<u>Scott Chasea</u> fourth grade teacher at Mark Twain Elementary School, has concerns about the health care changes and asked the Superintendent and the Board to honor the contract.

<u>Sandra Olso</u>na retiree and substitute, did some research on Keenan and Associates and found that the have been issues with their firm all over the State of California with school districts and joint powers of authorities. She asked if the Board was aware of any of this information when Keenan was chosen to the broker. She abstated that although there was an SCTA representative in the room when decision were made, that representative did not choose Keenan. She feels that the decision made was ill conce and illegal.

<u>David Fisher</u>, First VicePresident of SCTA, has concerabout the health care changes and hopes that the Board is starting to be aware of the problems, such as making an unlawful unilateral decision and the problems people are having getting answers. He gave several examples of thealtsateCTA is receiving of panicked t()]TJ5 Tm [(t)-2(he)4(B)1(oa12(a)BT 0.002 o61F9 -1.15 /e)4(s)-1(e)4.()]TJ ET2(

the students can benefit.

<u>Gretchen Berretta Viglion</u> teacher at Nicholas Elementary School, is concerned with the con**Staet** had the perception that SCTA and the Superintendent worked together until actions under the previo Superintendent made her think differently. However, she thought that under new leadership things wor get back to a focus on students. But she now sees a new issue of distrust. She is shocked and ange the unilateral changes made to the benefits.

RalphMerletti congratulated those that won-election, and stated he will continue to seek a position of

8.1 <u>Items Subject or Not Subject to Closed Se</u>ssion

- 8.1a Approve Grants, Entitlements and Other Income Agreements, Ratification of Other Agreements, Approval of Bid Awards, Approval of Declared Surplus Materials and Equipment, Change Notices and Neticof Corpletion (Gerardo Castillo)
- 8.1b Approve Personnel Transactions (Cancy McArn)
- 8.1c Approve West Campus Field Trip to the 2014 Nike Basketball Tournament of Champions from December 17 to December 23, 2014 (Lisa Allen)
- 8.1d Approve Amended Changes to Board Policy 5150: Foster Youth to be Titled as Board Policy 6173.1: Foster Youth (Raoul Bozio)
- 8.1e Approve Child Developme**Nt**on-Federal Match (Inkind) Policiesand Procedures/Valuation TableOlivine Roberts and Wanda Roundtree)
- 8.1f Approve Minutes of the October 2014 Board of Education Meeting (José L.Banda)
- 8.1g Approve Minutes of the October 16, 2014 Board of Education Me(etimsé L. Banda)

Public Comment:

<u>Darlene Anderso</u> commended on Item 8.1d and has concerns with the District's ability to work with families that are underrepresented and feels that the Item should not be approved on the Consent Agenda.

Ralph Merletticlarified that his comments, as reported in the October 2, 2014 minutes regarding SVAS.org was, after all, not a good site solar eclipse safety. He suggests using a search engine instead. He also shared some in 2014 hat one (b201) at (b201) a

meetings as well as student council representatives so that they can begin to establish a school wide policy. That way the audit can be done on an annual basis by future stud**esots** Member Rodriguez suggested that savings from the audit findings could be used to fund the needed pool pump.

Second Vice President Hansen also thanked the students and let the **naturbe** knowledge they gained issomething that is needed not only California but throughout the country. He acknowledged the District and SMUD for the partnership and said we should be conducting audits at all of our schools.

Superintendent Banda concurred with the comments made by Board members and also thanked the students for their detailed and thoughtful presentation. He hopes that they found interest not only in what they were doing but also in learning of the potential energy saving discovered in their results. He thanked the students and hoped they saw some possible career interests for the future.

9.0 COMMUNICATIONS

- 9.1 Employee Organization Reports:
 - f CSA No report given.
 - f SCTA No report given.
 - f SEIU Ian Arnold reported on behalf of SEIU.
 - f Teamsters No report given.
 - f UPE No report given.
- 9.2 District Parent Advisory Committees:
 - *f* Community Advisory Committee Angie Sutherland, Chair of the Community Advisory Committee for Special Education, reported on behalf of CAC.
 - *f* District Advisory Council Maria Haro-Sullivan, President of the District Advisory Council, reported on behalf of DAC.
 - f District English Learner Advisory Committee No report given.
 - f Gifted and Talenetd Education Advisory Committeelo report given.
 - f Sacramento Council of Parent Teacher Association (PTA)ngie Sutherland reported on behalf of the Sacramento Council of Parent Teacher Association (PTA).

9.3 Superintendent's Report deséL. Banda)

Superintendent Banda reported that last month two teams of educators fr**Dirstfree** attended the Fall conference of the Council of the Great City Schools. This was therefore conference for this organization, which includes urban districts from all over the United States. He was very proud of how our District was represent and of the response to our work. One team led a panel on Home Visits and Academic Parent Teacher Teams, which are two family engagement strategies that Sacramento has been on the ground floor of developing and implementing. He thanked Lisa Levasseur,

Information

Information

Information

Cabrillo Elementary School. They provide free glasses to students that are of Alled ihere were approximately 25 students that received glasses. A contract is being negotiated so that they can provide to more school sites. Almost 300 pairs of glasses have been provided to students in the last several months. Member Hansen thanked Vision to Learn and principal Samantha Holmes for being involved.

Vice President Pritchett ported on the Parent/Teacher Home Visit Program of which she is the Board liaison. She nominated them for the California School Board Association's Golden Bell Award for which they have been chosen. They will be given the award on Decembine State Fancisco during the California School Board Association's annual meeting.

10.0 BOARD WORKSHOP/STRATEGIC PLAN AND OTHER INITIATIVES

- 10.1SMUD High School Energy Audit Training ProjectEnergy EfficiencyInformationRecommendations for Luther Burbank High School (Cathy A(Item)) moved to
be heardafter Consent Agenda)Information
- 10.2 Facilities Repurpose and Reuse: Approve Proposal, Ranking, and Fee Struct Action (Cathy Allenand James Dobson)

Presentation was given by Cathy Allen, Assistant SuperintendEacidifies and Support Services and James Dobson, Director of Facilities and Support Services. The presentation began with a review from where the work started which was given by Superintendent Banda. He also went over Board comments and requests which inclutible options for defining the fee structure with a tiered model, an overview of the civic permit categories, community benefits and surveys of nécess for nonprofit versus forprofit, costs for lower income neighborhood, and site touss from other school districts were also requested, and the Board encouraged staff to continue moving forward and provide updates. It was a Board direction as well that the discounted rate would not exceed the fair market value. The Board was toive are full list of criteria on which proposals would be determined before the proposals were received. Consisting criteria was to be applied and identify community benefits arising from various groups. Lastly, enrollment projections of impacted areas ever be considered James Dobson then spoke on proposal categories and scoring of categories. Cathy Allen then went over proposed fee structure, categories, and next steps. If the proposal is approved, it will be shared with all interested parties. The ntative schedule for proposals being submitted is December 15th; they will be summarized and presented to the Board at the Januar 2010 and 2010 and

Public Comment:

Richard BrandsmaPresident of the Board of La Familia Counseling Center, stated that the D 25 >9 BT

<u>Teresita Savcedousing a translator</u>, spoke on being a parent leader for La Familia Counseling Cen She also spoke on benefits her daughter received and son received from the organization. She asked the Board not wait to make a decision regarding the Maple School site.

<u>Katie McCleary</u> Executive Director of 916 Ink, explained that the organization provides writing classes for children. They currently work in four District schools, but have a wait list of eight more schools. They need space and are interested in the Maple School site. She urged the Board to consider propo that have an alternative fee structure.

<u>Kathy Fleming</u> Executive Director of Fairy Tale Town, repead that they are proud to partner with both La Familia Counseling Center and 916 Ink on repurposing the Maple School site. The role of Fairy Ta Town in the Maple School project would be to work with the community to createup pday adventure playground for children and youth ages seven to fifteen in the open, outdoor area of the school. She hopes that the District will work with La Familia and its partners to negotiate affordable prices so they can move forward on reviving the Maple School site.

<u>Chuck Ruckerwith Camelia Waldorf School</u>, reported that his Board is interested in the Maple School site and is ready to move forward by the terms and pricing that was presented at an Athgnuse²⁷⁷g. <u>Ian Arnold</u> commented on the issue of ensuring that District custodians are used when sites are reutiliz which was raised during the presentation. He would like to see this happen as the District has a responsibility to maintain the buildings in a safe and hea**nthay**ner no matter who the tenant is.

Board Comments:

Member Rodriguez stated that she is disappointed that the Item was not pulled earlier, still feels the proposal needs a lot more worked isuncomfortable about voting on Item 10.2 at this time. Shedw like arrangements made to bring this to a special meeting instead.

Member Arroyo asked under what premise was Fremont leasedAllets replied that is was leased under a joint use between the District and the City of Sacramento. The third party was the Studios Operating Group. We leased the building to the City of Sacramento for a dollar per year, and they are leasing it to the Sodios Operating Group. In return the District is receiving benefits in the form of internships, scholarships, and tickets to theater productions. The lease is for forty years. Member Arr asked how many students are expected to benefit from the Fremont lease. Ms. Allen said she would I to get back to him on that. Member Arroyo would like to create an opportunity within the proposed fee structure for this mode and wants it written in the proposed ven if written in a generic wasyo that benefits can be considered in a broader perspective by the Board when proposals are being considered Ms. Allen said that is very doable. She would also like to include the cost analysis side, as there is an operating cost that would need to be absorberder to wants. Member Arroyo said, yes, whatever that entails.

Vice PresidenPritchett had concerns about the proposed fee structure base rate and felt it was high. I Allen said that it is just a starting point to begin a conversation and that it willrfiesorganizations very well and others not, so having the availability to talk about a joint use is very reasonable. Vice Preside Pritchett feels the criteria lacks some clarityd proposed that the Board come back to a special meeting so that they can discuss some more.

Second Vice President Hansen stated that the Board is way past time to move forward on this Item, all two years. He noted that some incredible projects have been brought forward by people that would like utilize the facilities and he would like to see them. Counting ind contributions could be something that can count toward offsetting costs. He is fearful that if the Board does not move forward organizations that can benefit students and the community will be forced to go elsewhere or maybe no able to pursue a good project. These are facilities that have been paid for by the taxpayers, and the Board should give some benefit back to the taxpayers. He is comfortable with the proposal before the Board, and thinks that it will never be perfect, but if the first round of proposals in December show:

oversight or something that needs clicitation, there is nothing that prohibits the Board from changing the next group of proposals moving forward. He believes the public would want the Board to move on this, and therefore he proposed the Board move forward with the proposal.

President Woo then asked for a motion. Member Arroyo asked for a placeholder item for general language that allows for an alternative model for proposal submissions. He asked Ms. Allen if she cour make a suggestion. She replied that a paragraph could be added stating that if the proposal is to be a joint use agreement arrangement, please describe services to be provided. Or a clause that doesn't lit the proposal to a dollar amount, such as "proposals will also be accepted that providekfod inservices to School District students and community and will be evaluated on **tkieid ic** multiplication." Superintendent Banda added that even after the Board approves the criteria, the proposals will still be coming back to the Board for review. Member Arroyo stated that he understands that, but this will be something that gives direction to staff on which direction to go. He has concerns if it is not included. Ms. Allen proposed that the fee structure not be approved. Her only concern is that how will the custo piece be addressed, but she is fine with not including a per square foot rate within the body of the proposal itself. Member Arroyo said he was fine with that.

Member Rodriguez respectfully stated that she felt the Board was misunderstanding the whsteop roce an RFP. Fees must be attached as it could make all the difference if an organization is going to subm proposal or not. She had other concerns about an organizatibility to pay and fairness, and felt the proposal was being rushed.

Member Aroyo commented that the weight given to financial management in the proposal was 20 poin and was also addressed in other areas. He therefore feels it is not absent from the proposal. He wou however, like to see a general item for other proposals to be considered, alternatively from this fee schedule, based on benefit provided other than monetary. Ms. Allen clarified that, regarding the financi request, some organizations have been around in their current capacity for a long time and so have several years of financial data. Others, however, are coming together as a new entity and so will not he financial data as a group. Staff did not want to limit any organization's ability to provide financial data because they are new, so it was decided ktogrea description of how a first year would look.

Member Rodriguez noted that new businesses have an approximate failure rate of 75 percent. There performas must be created, specific financials, not just an idea, and usually those financials are project out over a few years Member Rodriguez is not comfortable with the proposal as it is, and if it is voted o now and passes, does not want it to affect Area 5 schools. She has not spoken to her City Council me and Assembly member as they driguest been elected and will not be sworn in until December. She feels is premature to move forward.

Member Arroyo appreciates Member Rodriguez's comments, however there is nothing that prohibits the Board from refining the proposal later and he noted that he has two schools closed in his area. One is vacant and getting vandalized, and the costs are becoming significant. The other reopened as a scho earlier in the year, and is doing wonderfully. The proposal affects the entire District. He asked for a motion to pass on the Item so that the Board can confer with staff. Second Vice President Hansen seconded the motion. President Woo asked if there was any dis(ot)n(7)-2()b-2(f)-2-2(de)4(nt)-he4(beta)

The Board took a five minute recess. There was some discussion betweendhan8staff.

President Woo reconvened the meeting and asked who would report to him like seported that proposed language arrived at during the recess is that "the Sacramento City Unified School District Board of Trustees may consider alternative structures that include elements including but not limited to joint use agreements or knind donations". Member Arroyo said he approves the language. Second Vice President Hansen made a motion to move the Item as amended. Member Arroyo seconded the motion. Member Rodriguez said that she still does not see how the new added language will benefit the District. She also noted that the issue of District custodians was not added as an amendment, nor any the other recommendations that she had madie guile break. Member Pritchett asked if Member Rodriguez answered it was regarding the language regarding the fee schedule.

is it similar or could a transitional kindergarten expand to be a kinedergarten. Dr. Robestanswered that transitional kindergarten is considered to be kinedergarten. There is also peechool which is also considered prekindergarten, so it depends on the age. - Steleool primarily serves three and four year olds while early, or transitional Kindergarten serves students that are filemeter Hansen then asked if resources are available is this the kind of program that could be expanded to serve more students? Roberts answered yes. Member Hansen noted that the implementation sites on page 11 does include Area 1 schools and asked what may be planned to get one of the schools in his area to get a transition kindergarten program. Dr. Roberts answered that the plan is to look at the budget this year and determine where the high needs are throughout the District so as to expand the program. Mr. Conway noted that the program is regional at this point, so students from Area 1 can also be serve at those regional school sites.

10.4 AB 1200 Disclosure of Costs of the Tentative Agreement Ratification of the Tentativ Action Agreement with Bargaining Unit – UP(Eancy McArn)

Cancy McArn presented and explained the provisions of the tentative two year agreement reached with UPE. She thanked the UPE negotiation team for their leadership, hard work, time, energy, and effort. Staff recommends approval of the tentative agreement.

Public Comment:

<u>Grace Trujillo</u> spoke about the possible cost ramifications of the retired teachetion choices in the health care plan. She has concerns about a possible cost in **arealse**els it could be as much as six to eight million dollars She is also worried about the 3,000 employees currently in the system; will they have retirement benefits when they retire? She asked how the Board was preparing and recommende that the Board take classes. Looking at individual districts, the ones that are doing the best are the on that were conservative and took into account what gotnce t beith 4(I)54(i)-2(nt)-2 9d h85 565.56 Their

12.0 FUTURE BOARD MEETING DATES / LOCATIONS

- 9 November 20, 2014 4:30 p.m. Cldsession; 6:30 p.m. Open Session; Serna Center, 5[†]35 47 Avenue, Community Room; Regular Workshop Meeting
- December 4, 2014 4:30 p.m. Closed Session; 6:30 p.m. Open Session; Serna Centeth, 5735 47 Avenue, Community Room; Regular Workshop Meeting

13.0 ADJOURNMENT

President Woæsked for a motion to adjourn the meeting; a motion was made by Student Member Asami Saito and seconded by Member Arroyo. The motion was passed unanimously, and the meetin was adjourned at 10:30 p.m.

José L.Banda, Superintendent and Board Secretary

NOTE: The Sacramento City Unified School District encourages those with disabilities to participate fully in the public meet process. If you need a disability lated modification or accommodation, includiagxiliary aids or services, to participate in the public meeting, please contact the Board of Education Office at (916936148 at least 48 hours before the scheduled Boar Education meeting so that we may make every reasonable effort to accommodate displayed for the Board of Education less than 72 hours advance of the meeting and relating to an open session item are available fic inspection at 5735 47Avenue at the Front Desk Counter and on the District's website at www.scusd.edu