OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT

5735 47th Avenue Sacramento, CA 95824 (916) 6439010 z FAX (916) 3992058

Jorge A. Aguilar Superintenden

BOARD OF EDUCATION

January 3, 2020

Jessie Ryan President Trustee Area 7

Elaine M. Howle

Christina Pritchett Vice President Trustee Area 3 California State Auditor 621 Capitol Mall, Suite 1200 Sacramento, CA 95814

Michael Minnick 2nd Vice President Trustee Area 4

RE: Update Audit 201908

Dear Ms. Howle:

Lisa Murawski Trustee Area 1

On behalf of the Sacramento City Unified School District, I want to again express our appreciation for the thorough December 10, 2016it Report("Audit") of our District

Leticia Garcia Trustee Area 2 prepared by you and your team. As you know, the Audit makes a number of findings and recommendations to the District based on those findings. This letter is the first of likely

many letters intended to provide an update on the

Mai Vang Trustee Area 5

Darrel Woo Trustee Area 6

Olivia Ang-Olson Student Board Member RE: UpdateAudit 2019-108 January 13, 2020

Beginning on August 2, 2019, and in light of SCTA ders'continued refusal to begin negotiations with the District, and/or SCTA leaders nditioning negotiations on resolution of other items as evidenced in various letters from SCTA to the District, the District began presenting its proposals for bargaining electronically. Between August 2, 2019 and December 9, 2019, the District submitted to SCTA leaders of its proposals on the articles of the CBA that the District had sunshined in November 2018. Each proposal presented to SCTA leaders showed the changes that the District proposed to make to that article by using strike out antideachde text. Below is a summary of the proposals submitted to SCTA leaders and the dates on which they were presented.

Proposals presented to SCTA on August 2, 2019

- x Negotiations Ground Rules
- x Health Benefits Article 13
- x 2020-21 and 2021-22 School Calledar

Proposals presented to SCTA on August 23, 2019

- x Organizational Rights Article 18
- x Organizational Security Article 21

Proposals presented to SCTA on October 2, 2019

x Safety Conditions-Article 11

Proposals presented to SCTA on December 9, 2019

- x Hours of Employment—Article 5
- x Evaluation—Article 6
- x Vacancies and TransferArticle 8
- x Compensation—Article 12
- x Class Size-Article 17
- x MOU Review

In presenting each of the above articles to S@addersfor negotiations, the District requested that SCTA leaders begin negotiations for a successor contract, offered dates for bargaining, and generalfyge(c)u+4(g)barcasto(ps)Ar;owoSDaTi4(i)a(d+i)s.by acstr5eEs4(c)00024(loss)31(los

RE: UpdateAudit 2019-108 Page3 of 3

January 13, 2020

Form 1510, Request for Impasse DeterminatAppointment of Mediator ("Request") long with an Addendum in support of the District's request, and 259 pages of exhibited RB's consideration, based on the District's belief that we were attripasse in negotiations for a successor collective bagaining agreement. That same damed before receiving the Exhibits in support of the District's Request, PERB denied the District's request for impasse determination and declined to appoint a mediator to assist the District and SCTA in negotiations.

While the District was disappointed by PERB's determination that the parties are not at an impasse despite the District's extensive efforts to engage SCTA in negotiations and SCTA leaders' continued refusal to begin negotiations, the District sent yet another letter to SCTA leaders December 20, 2019